## STATE OF MISSOURI



## **DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**

## MISSOURI AIR CONSERVATION COMMISSION

# **PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT**

Under the authority of RSMo 643 and the Federal Clean Air Act the applicant is authorized to construct the air contaminant source(s) described below, in accordance with the laws, rules and conditions as set forth herein.

Permit Number:		Project Number: 2015-03-068	
Installation Name:	Columbia Municipal Power Plant		
Installation Address:	1501 Business Loop	70 East, Columbia, MO 65201	
Location Information:	Boone County, S7, 1	Γ48N, R12W	
and fuel feeder on Boinstallation of an econflue gas recirculation	s 6 and 7 to burn 100 <sup>o</sup> bilers 6 and 7, installa nomizer on Boiler 8, a on Boiler 8. This revi	made for: % woody biomass, replacement of the grate ation of over-fire air on Boilers 6 and 7, and installation of low NO <sub>x</sub> burners with 20% ew was conducted in accordance with Section 60 Construction Permits Required.	
Standard Cond	ditions (on reverse) a	re applicable to this permit.	
Standard Condithis permit.	ditions (on reverse) a	nd Special Conditions are applicable to	
Prepared by Alana Hess New Source Review	D	virector or Designee Department of Natural Resources	
	_ E	ffective Date	

#### STANDARD CONDITIONS:

Permission to construct may be revoked if you fail to begin construction or modification within eighteen months from the effective date of this permit. The permittee should notify the Air Pollution Control Program if construction or modification is not started within eighteen months after the effective date of this permit, or if construction or modification is suspended for one year or more.

You will be in violation of 10 CSR 10-6.060 if you fail to adhere to the specifications and conditions listed in your application, this permit and the project review. In the event that there is a discrepancy between the permit application and this permit, the conditions of this permit shall take precedence. Specifically, all air contaminant control devises shall be operated and maintained as specified in the application, associated plans and specifications.

You must notify the Air Pollution Control Program of the anticipated date of startup of these modified air contaminant sources. The information must be made available not more than 60 days but at least 30 days in advance of this date. Also, you must the Department of Natural Resources' Northeast Regional Office within 15 days after the actual startup of these modified air contaminant sources.

A copy of this permit and permit review shall be kept at the installation address and shall be made available to Department of Natural Resources' personnel upon request.

You may appeal this permit or any of the listed special conditions to the Administrative Hearing Commission (AHC), P.O. Box 1557, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, as provided in RSMo 643.075.6 and 621.250.3. If you choose to appeal, you must file a petition with the AHC within 30 days after the date this decision was mailed or the date it was delivered, whichever date was earlier. If any such petition is sent by registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is mailed. If it is sent by any method other than registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is received by the AHC.

If you choose not to appeal, this certificate, the project review, your application, and associated correspondence constitutes your permit to construct. The permit allows you to construct <u>and</u> operate your modified air contaminant sources, but in no way relieves you of your obligation to comply with all applicable provisions of the Missouri Air Conservation Law, regulations of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources and other applicable federal, state, and local laws and ordinances.

The Air Pollution Control Program invites your questions regarding this air pollution permit. Please contact the Construction Permit Unit at (573) 751-4817. If you prefer to write, please address your correspondence to the Air Pollution Control Program, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0176, attention: Construction Permit Unit.

Page No.	3
Permit No.	
Project No.	2015-03-068

The permittee is authorized to construct and operate subject to the following special conditions:

The special conditions listed in this permit were included based on the authority granted the Missouri Air Pollution Control Program by the Missouri Air Conservation Law (specifically 643.075) and by the Missouri Rules listed in Title 10, Division 10 of the Code of State Regulations (specifically 10 CSR 10-6.060). For specific details regarding conditions, see 10 CSR 10-6.060(12)(A)10. "Conditions required by permitting authority."

Columbia Municipal Power Plant Boone County, S7, T48N, R12W

- 1. Particulate Emission Limitations
  - A. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall emit less than 0.069 pounds of PM per MMBtu of heat input from Boilers 6 and 7.
  - B. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall emit less than 0.069 pounds of filterable PM<sub>10</sub> per MMBtu of heat input from Boilers 6 and 7.
  - C. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall emit less than 0.059 pounds of filterable PM<sub>2.5</sub> per MMBtu of heat input from Boilers 6 and 7.
  - D. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall demonstrate compliance with Special Conditions 1.A, 1.B, and 1.C by conducting stack testing according to Special Condition 9.
- 2. Control Device Requirement Fabric Filter
  - A. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall control emissions from Boilers 6 and 7 using fabric filters as specified in the permit application.
  - B. The fabric filters shall be operated and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. The fabric filters shall be equipped with a gauge or meter, which indicates the pressure drop across the control device. These gauges or meters shall be located such that Department of Natural Resources' employees may easily observe them.
  - C. Replacement filters shall be kept on hand at all times. The filters shall be made of fibers appropriate for operating conditions expected to occur (i.e. temperature limits, acidic and alkali resistance, and abrasion resistance).
  - D. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall monitor and record the operating pressure drop across the fabric filters at least once every 24 hours. The operating pressure drop shall be maintained within the design conditions

Page No.	4
Permit No.	
Project No.	2015-03-068

The permittee is authorized to construct and operate subject to the following special conditions:

specified by the manufacturer's specifications to be between 1 inch water column and 8 inches of water column.

- E. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall maintain a copy of the fabric filter manufacturer's specifications on site.
- F. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall maintain an operating and maintenance log for the fabric filters which shall include the following:
  - 1) Incidents of malfunction, with impact on emissions, duration of event, probable cause, and corrective actions; and
  - 2) Maintenance activities, with inspection schedule, repair actions, and replacements, etc.
- 3. Control Device Requirement low NO<sub>x</sub> burners and flue gas recirculation
  - A. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall control emissions from Boiler 8 using low NO<sub>x</sub> burners and flue gas recirculation as specified in the permit application.
  - B. The low NO<sub>x</sub> burners and flue gas recirculation system shall be operated and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.
  - C. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall maintain a copy of the manufacturer's specifications for the low NO<sub>x</sub> burners and flue gas recirculation system on site.
  - D. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall maintain an operating and maintenance log for the low NO<sub>x</sub> burners and flue gas recirculation system which shall include the following:
    - 1) Incidents of malfunction, with impact on emissions, duration of event, probable cause, and corrective actions; and
    - 2) Maintenance activities, with inspection schedule, repair actions, and replacements, etc.
- 4. Haul Road Requirements
  - A. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall maintain and/or repair the portions of INS-08 Paved Haul Road as necessary to ensure that the physical integrity of the pavement is adequate to achieve control of fugitive emissions while the plant is in operation.

Page No.	5
Permit No.	
Project No.	2015-03-068

The permittee is authorized to construct and operate subject to the following special conditions:

B. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall periodically water, wash, and/or otherwise clean the pavement as necessary to achieve control of fugitive emissions while the plant is in operation.

#### 5. CO BACT

A. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall not exceed the CO BACT limits listed in Table 1. These limits apply at all times including startup, shutdown, and malfunction.

Table 1: CO BACT

Emission Point	Description	CO BACT
EP01	Boiler 6	0.27 lb/MMBtu, based on a 30-day rolling average and the use of over-fire air and good combustion practices
EP02	Boiler 7	0.27 lb/MMBtu, based on a 30-day rolling average and the use of over-fire air and good combustion practices
EP03	Boiler 8	0.08 lb/MMBtu, based on a 30-day rolling average and the use of good combustion practices

- B. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall operate the boilers using good combustion practices and over-fire air in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.
- C. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall retain a copy of each boiler's manufacturer specifications on site.
- D. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall install, maintain, and operate a CO CEMS on Boilers 6, 7, and 8 in accordance with §60.13, 40 CFR Part 60 Appendix B (Performance Specification 4, 4A, or 4B), and 40 CFR Part 60 Appendix F (Quality Assurance Procedures) to measure and record the CO emission rate (lb/MMBtu) from each boiler.
- E. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall calculate the 30-day rolling average CO emission rate as the sum of all hourly CO emission rates (lb/MMBtu) divided by the number of hours of operation during the most recent 30-day period.

Page No.	6
Permit No.	
Project No.	2015-03-068

The permittee is authorized to construct and operate subject to the following special conditions:

## 6. Operational Limitations

- A. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall limit the heat input of Boiler 6 to 62,000 MMBtu of biomass per consecutive 12-month period.
- B. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall limit the heat input of Boiler 7 to 1,624,980 MMBtu of biomass per consecutive 12-month period.
- C. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall exclusively combust biomass meeting the definition of clean cellulosic biomass at 40 CFR Part 241.2 in Boilers 6 and 7.
- D. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall limit the heat input of Boiler 8 to 1,848,360 MMBtu of natural gas in any consecutive 12-month period.
- E. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall determine and record the heat input (MMBtu) to each boiler for every hour or part of an hour any fuel is combusted using the procedures in 40 CFR Part 75 Appendix F.
- F. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall calculate the monthly heat input to each boiler as the sum of all hourly heat inputs to the boiler as recorded by the installation's CEMS during the calendar month.
- G. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall calculate and record the 12-month rolling total heat input for each boiler as the sum of each monthly heat input for the 12 most recent months.

## 7. Modeling Analysis Requirements

A. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall notify the Air Pollution Control Program before initial startup of any modifications to the facility design that could impact the release parameters specified in the Memorandums from the Modeling Unit titled, "AAQIA for the Columbia Municipal Power Plant – PSD Modeling – CO and HAPs" (May 2015) and "AAQIA for the Columbia Municipal Power Plant – PSD Modeling – CO Limit – Revision #1" (August 2015) and those specifically listed in Table 3. In the event the Air Pollution Control Program determines that the changes are significant, Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall submit an updated AAQIA to the Air Pollution Control Program that continues to demonstrate compliance with the NAAQS for CO and Missouri's HAP RALs.

Page No.	7
Permit No.	
Project No.	2015-03-068

The permittee is authorized to construct and operate subject to the following special conditions:

Table 3: City of Columbia Power Plant - Stack Parameters

Table 3: City of Columbia Power Plant – Stack Parameters			
Emission Point	EP01 & EP02	EP03	
Stack ID	S5	S6	
Description	Boilers 6 & 7	Boiler 8	
Release Type	Point	Point	
Easting (m)	559191.90	559169.67	
Northing (m)	4313074.11	4313031.56	
Elevation (m)	233.48	233.48	
Stack Height (m)	91.44	19.20	
Stack Diameter (m)	2.44	1.52	
100% Load			
Stack Exit Temperature (K)	455.37	433.15	
Stack Exit Gas Velocity (m/s)	14.94	21.03	
75% Load			
Stack Exit Temperature (K)	447.04	433.15	
Stack Exit Gas Velocity (m/s)	11.95	15.77	
50% Load			
Stack Exit Temperature (K)	437.71	8.96	
Stack Exit Gas Velocity (m/s)	413.71	12.62	

B. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall not emit CO in excess of the limits listed in Table 4.

**Table 4: CO Modeling Limits** 

Emission Point	Description	Load	Block Hourly Limit (lb/hr)	8-Hour Rolling Limit (lb/hr)
ED04.0 D.1 0.0.7	76% - 100%	2,785.50	1,361.80	
EP01 & EP02	EP01 & Boilers 6 & 7 EP02 combined	51% - 75%	2,785.50	1,392.80
		≤50%	2,166.50	1,392.80
EP03	Boiler 8	76% - 100%	84.40	42.20
		51% - 75%	63.30	31.70
		≤50%	63.30	21.10

1) Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall demonstrate compliance with the CO limits using the CO CEMS required by Special Condition 6.D.

Page No.	8
Permit No.	
Project No.	2015-03-068

The permittee is authorized to construct and operate subject to the following special conditions:

- 2) If an exceedance of the CO modeling limits occurs, Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall submit a revised modeling analysis to the Air Pollution Control Program no later than 90 days after the date of the exceedance.
- 8. Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements
  - A. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall maintain all records required by this permit for not less than five years and shall make them available immediately to any Missouri Department of Natural Resources' personnel upon request.
  - B. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall report to the Air Pollution Control Program's Compliance/Enforcement Section, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102, no later than 10 days after the end of the month during which any record required by this permit shows an exceedance of a limitation imposed by this permit.
- 9. Performance Testing
  - A. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall conduct performance testing to determine the PM, filterable PM<sub>10</sub>, and filterable PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission rates from Boilers 6 and 7. Performance testing shall be conducting in accordance with EPA Test Methods 5 and 201A or other test methods upon Air Pollution Control Program approval.
  - B. These tests shall be performed no later than 180 days after ceasing coal combustion and completion of the grate replacement, fuel feeder replacement, and over-fire air installation on Boilers 6 and 7. Subsequent performance testing is required once every five years.
  - C. Testing shall be conducted at loads of not less than 224 MMBtu/hr for Boiler 6 and 334 MMBtu/hr for Boiler 7.
    - 1) If the stack tested loads are below those listed above, Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall apply for and obtain an amendment to this permit which limits the maximum hourly usage of the boilers to 110% of the stack tested loads.
  - D. Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall record the operating pressure drop across the fabric filters during each test run.

Page No.	9
Permit No.	
Project No.	2015-03-068

The permittee is authorized to construct and operate subject to the following special conditions:

- E. A completed Proposed Test Plan Form (enclosed) shall be submitted to the Air Pollution Control Program 30 days prior to the proposed test date so that the Air Pollution Control Program may arrange a pretest meeting, if necessary, and assure that the test date is acceptable for an observer to be present. The Proposed Test Plan may serve the purpose of notification and must be approved by the Director prior to conducting the required emission testing.
- F. <u>Two copies</u> of a written report of the performance test results shall be submitted to the Director within 30 days of completion of any required testing. The report must include legible copies of the raw data sheets, analytical instrument laboratory data, and <u>complete sample calculations</u> from the required U.S. EPA Method for at least one sample run.
- G. The test report is to fully account for all operational and emission parameters addressed both in the permit conditions as well as in any other applicable state or federal rules or regulations.

## REVIEW OF APPLICATION FOR AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE

SECTION (8) REVIEW
Project Number: 2015-03-068
Installation ID Number: 019-0002
Permit Number:

Installation Address:
Columbia Municipal Power Plant
1501 Business Loop 70 East
Columbia, MO 65201

Boone County, S7, T48N, R12W

#### **REVIEW SUMMARY**

- Columbia Municipal Power Plant has applied for authority to convert Boilers 6 and 7 to burn 100% woody biomass, replace the grate and fuel feeder on Boilers 6 and 7, install over-fire air on Boilers 6 and 7, install an economizer on Boiler 8, and install low NO<sub>x</sub> burners with 20% flue gas recirculation on Boiler 8.
- The application was deemed complete on May 15, 2015.
- Hazardous Air Pollutant emissions are expected from the combustion of biomass in Boilers 6 and 7 and the combustion of natural gas in Boiler 8. Potential emissions of acrolein (107-02-8), benzene (71-43-2), chlorine (7782-50-5), dioxins/furans, and polycyclic organic matter for the project exceeded their respective SMALs; therefore, modeling was conducted.
- 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart Da Standards of Performance for Electric Utility Steam Generating Units is not applicable to the installation. Boilers 6 and 7 are not subject to this regulation as after this project they will no longer combust a fossil fuel. The changes made to Boiler 8 as part of this project do not meet the definition of modification at §60.2 as the changes do not increase the potential hourly emission rates of PM, SO<sub>x</sub>, or NO<sub>x</sub> from the boiler (pollutants to which the standard applies).
- 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD National Emission Standards for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters is not applicable to the boilers as after this project the installation will be an area source of HAPs.
- 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart JJJJJ National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers Area Sources is applicable to Boilers 6 and 7. Boiler 6 is required to comply with the requirements for limited-use boilers while Boiler 7 is required to comply with the requirements for biomass boilers. Boiler 8 is not subject to this regulation as gas-fired boilers are exempt per §63.11195(e).

- Fabric filters are being used to control emissions of PM, filterable PM<sub>10</sub>, and filterable PM<sub>2.5</sub> from Boilers 6 and 7. Low NO<sub>x</sub> burners with 20% flue gas recirculation are being used to control NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from Boiler 8. Over-fire air and good combustion practices are being used to control CO emissions from Boilers 6, 7, and 8
- This review was conducted in accordance with Section (8) of Missouri State Rule 10 CSR 10-6.060 Construction Permits Required. This project is a major modification for CO at an existing major stationary source. Special Condition 1 ensures that the modifications do not result in a significant net emissions increase of PM, total PM<sub>10</sub>, or total PM<sub>2.5</sub>.
- This installation is located in Boone County, an attainment area for all criteria air pollutants.
- This installation is on the List of Named Installations at 10 CSR 10-6.020(3)(B), Table 2 Item #26 "fossil-fuel-fired steam electric plants of more than 250 MMBtu/hr heat input"; therefore, the installation's major source level is 100 tons per year and fugitive emissions are counted towards major source applicability.
- Screen modeling of CO, acrolein, benzene, chlorine, dioxins/furans, and polycyclic organic matter was conducted as part of this project to determine the ambient impact of these emissions.
- Emission testing is required to determine the PM, the filterable PM<sub>10</sub>, and the filterable PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission rates from the combustion of biomass in Boilers 6 and 7 and ensure that the net emissions increase remains below the significance levels.
- The installation is required to amend their Part 70 operating permit application 2015-06-041 to include the conditions of this permit within one year after the issuance date of this permit.
- Approval of this permit is recommended with special conditions.

#### INSTALLATION DESCRIPTION

Columbia Municipal Power Plant is an existing electric generating facility. Electric generating equipment includes a 248 MMBtu/hr coal-fired Boiler 6, a 371 MMBtu/hr coal-fired Boiler 7, a 422 MMBtu/hr gas-fired Boiler 8, a 12.5 MW gas-fired combustion turbine, two 1500 kW diesel limited-use generators, a 2,180 kW diesel limited-use generator, two 2,000 kW diesel limited-use generators, and four 1,112 kW diesel limited-use generators. Additional emission sources at the installation include haul roads, a fuel storage pile, conveyors, diesel storage tanks, a parts washer, and water treatment tanks. Ash collected by the baghouses is sluiced to an existing ash pond; therefore, ash handling is not considered an emission source.

The installation is an existing major source for both construction permits and operating permits.

The following New Source Review permits have been issued to Columbia Municipal Power Plant by the Air Pollution Control Program:

Permit Number	Description
0298-016	Section (5) new landfill cell
092000-018	Section (5) diesel generators and storage tanks
082003-003	Section (5) diesel generator
092003-019	Section (5) diesel generator
042004-013	Section (5) diesel generator
122007-006	Section (5) four new diesel generators and diesel storage tanks
042008-003	Temporary permit – expired
102012-005	Temporary permit – expired
042013-013	Temporary permit – expired
062015-004	Temporary permit to test corn stover and switch grass blend

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Columbia Municipal Power Plant wishes to cease combusting coal in Boilers 6 and 7 by no later than January 31, 2016 so as to become a HAP area source and avoid becoming subject to 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart DDDDD. The installation will be converting Boilers 6 and 7 from coal to 100% woody biomass. The installation has already been combusting up to 50% biomass in Boilers 6 and 7 as a determination, Project 2009-04-052, was made in 2009 that the existing fuel feeder systems on the stoker-spreader boilers were capable of accommodating up to 50% biomass.

In order to convert to 100% woody biomass, the installation is making the following modifications to Boilers 6 and 7:

- Fuel feeder replacement
- Over-fire air installation
- Grate replacement

These modifications are being made to allow for the combustion of 100% biomass and are not expected to increase the maximum hourly design rates of the boilers.

The installation is also requesting to modify Boiler 8 by installing low  $NO_x$  burners with 20% flue gas recirculation and replacing the existing air heater with an economizer. These modifications are not expected to increase the maximum hourly design rate of the boiler.

In order to ensure that the project remains below the significance levels for PM, total  $PM_{10}$ , total  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $SO_x$ ,  $NO_x$ , VOC, and  $CO_2e$ , the installation has requested the following restrictions:

- Limit Boiler 6's annual heat input to 62,000 MMBtu per year (250 hours per year) included in Special Condition 6.A.
- Limit Boiler 7's annual heat input to 1,624,980 MMBtu per year (50% annual capacity) included in Special Condition 6.B.
- Limit Boiler 8's annual heat input to 1,848,360 MMBtu per year (50% annual capacity) included in Special Condition 6.D.

Additional restrictions have been included in the permit by the Air Pollution Control Program to further ensure that the project remains below the significance levels for PM:

The installation based the biomass emissions calculations in their application on a PM emission factor of 0.0319 lb/MMBtu obtained from their September 2006 stack test. The installation also used this emission factor for filterable PM<sub>10</sub> and filterable PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions. A review of the September 2006 stack test by the Air Pollution Control Program revealed that during the stack test the boiler only combusted coal. As the Air Pollution Control Program believes PM, filterable PM<sub>10</sub>, and filterable PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission rates for biomass are dissimilar from coal, the Air Pollution Control Program has not accepted the 0.0319 lb/MMBtu for biomass combustion. The installation believes that the PM, filterable PM<sub>10</sub>, and filterable PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission factors for fabric filter controlled sources in AP-42 Table 1.6-1 are too high and that actual emissions will be closer to the emission factor established for coal. The Air Pollution Control Program has established limits in Special Condition 1 for PM, filterable PM<sub>10</sub>, and filterable PM<sub>2.5</sub> by calculating the maximum PM, filterable PM<sub>10</sub>, and filterable PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission factors (lb/MMBtu) that can occur from Boilers 6 and 7 and still result in a net emissions increase for the project below the significance levels for these pollutants. Stack testing is required to demonstrate compliance. If testing indicates emissions in excess of the emission limits in Special Condition 1, the installation will be in violation of PSD permitting requirements.

## **NET EMISSIONS INCREASE**

A NEI analysis examines all the emission increases and decreases that have occurred at the installation for the air pollutants of concern during a contemporaneous time period. The amount of these emission increases and decreases are determined by finding the actual emissions (average of a representative two-year baseline period), if available.

After the NEI analysis has determined the amount of actual and potential emissions for all of the emission units where increases and decreases have occurred, or will occur during this period, the increases are added together and the decreases are subtracted from this total. If the resulting level of emissions from the netting is below the significance level for that air pollutant, then the project is evaluated as a de minimis review instead of a major (PSD) review.

An increase or decrease in actual emissions is contemporaneous with the increase from the particular change only if it occurs between the date five years before construction on the particular change commences and the date that the increase from the particular change occurs.

An increase or decrease in actual emissions is creditable only if the permitting authority has not relied on it in issuing a permit for the source under this section and the permit is in effect when the increase in actual emissions from the particular change occurs.

A decrease in emissions is creditable only to the extent that:

 The old level of actual emissions or the old level of allowable emissions, whichever is lower, exceeds the new level of potential emissions;

- It is enforceable as a practical matter at and after the time that actual construction on the particular change begins; and
- It is approximately the same qualitative significance for public health and welfare as that attributed to the increase for the particular change.

PM NEI Analysis (tons per year)

Emission Source	PAE	BAE 10/2010 – 09/2012	Excluded per §52.21(b)(41)(ii)(c)	NEI
EP01	2.14	6.90	-	(4.76)
EP02	56.06	10.82	19.99	25.25
EP03	1.72	0.004	0.03	1.69
INS08	2.37	0.13	0.28	1.97
INS09	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.11
INS10	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.11
Project	62.55	17.87	20.32	24.37

Total PM<sub>10</sub> NEI Analysis (tons per year)

Emission Source	PAE	BAE 10/2010 – 09/2012	Excluded per §52.21(b)(41)(ii)(c)	NEI
EP01	2.67	13.86	-	(11.20)
EP02	69.87	21.75	40.27	7.85
EP03	6.89	0.02	0.11	6.76
INS08	0.47	0.03	0.06	0.39
INS09	0.06	0.003	0.00	0.05
INS10	0.06	0.003	0.00	0.05
Project	80.02	35.66	40.44	3.91

Total PM<sub>2.5</sub> NEI Analysis (tons per year)

Emission Source	PAE	BAE 10/2010 – 09/2012	Excluded per §52.21(b)(41)(ii)(c)	NEI
EP01	2.36	9.94	1	(7.58)
EP02	61.75	15.60	35.72	10.43
EP03	6.89	0.02	0.11	6.76
INS08	0.12	0.01	0.01	0.10
INS09	0.01	0.0005	0.00	0.01
INS10	0.01	0.0005	0.00	0.01
Project	71.13	25.56	35.85	9.72

SO<sub>x</sub> NEI Analysis (tons per year)

Emission Source	PAE	BAE 09/2012 – 08/2014	Excluded per §52.21(b)(41)(ii)(c)	NEI
EP01	0.78	227.66	•	(226.88)
EP02	20.31	832.54	-	(812.23)
EP03	0.54	0.04	0.35	0.16
Project	21.63	1,060.24	0.35	(1,038.95)

NO<sub>x</sub> NEI Analysis (tons per year)

Emission Source	PAE	BAE 02/2011 – 01/2013	Excluded per §52.21(b)(41)(ii)(c)	NEI
EP01	15.19	83.89	-	(68.70)
EP02	398.12	119.30	243.33	35.49
EP03	126.85	28.42	98.43	-
Project	540.16	231.62	341.76	(33.21)

**VOC NEI Analysis (tons per year)** 

Emission Source	PAE	BAE 08/2012 – 07/2014	Excluded per §52.21(b)(41)(ii)(c)	NEI
EP01	0.53	4.68	-	(4.15)
EP02	13.81	15.19	-	(1.37)
EP03	4.98	0.44	3.42	1.12
Project	19.32	20.30	3.42	(4.41)

CO<sub>2</sub>e NEI Analysis (tons per year)

Emission Source	PAE	BAE 02/2011 – 01/2013	Excluded per §52.21(b)(41)(ii)(c)	NEI
EP01	6,496.16	34,329.14	-	(27,832.98)
EP02	170,260.04	52,023.04	106,081.68	12,155.33
EP03	108,218.60	5,276.07	72,507.13	30,435.40
Project	284,974.80	91,628.25	178,588.81	14,757.75

This project is a major modification for CO requiring PSD review.

Excluded emissions are the portion of each source's emissions following the project that the existing sources could have accommodated during the baseline period and that are unrelated to this project. Per EPA's March 18, 2010 letter to Georgia Pacific, Columbia Municipal Power Plant used the highest demonstrated average monthly operating level during the baseline period as an approximation of the level of operation that each emission source could have accommodated during the baseline period. The highest demonstrated average monthly operating level was obtained from the installation's Air Markets Program Data.

#### EMISSIONS/CONTROLS EVALUATION

Baseline actual emissions of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> were obtained from the installation's existing CEMS as reported in EPA's Air Markets Program Data (<a href="http://ampd.epa.gov/ampd/">http://ampd.epa.gov/ampd/</a>).

## Biomass Combustion (EP01 & EP02)

The emission factors for condensable particulates, SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and VOC used in this analysis were obtained from the EPA document AP-42, *Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors*, Fifth Edition, Section 1.6 "Wood Residue Combustion in Boilers" (September 2003).

CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O emission factors and global warming potentials were obtained from 40 CFR Part 98 (revision date December 11, 2014).

The emission factors for individual HAPs used in this analysis were obtained from the National Council for Air and Stream Improvement technical bulletin #858, Compilation of 'Air Toxic' And Total Hydrocarbon Emissions Data For Sources At Kraft, Sulfite, And Non-Chemical Pulp Mills, Table 20A "Summary of 'Air Toxic' Emissions from Wood-Fired Boilers" (February 2003).

The maximum allowable emission factors for PM, filterable PM<sub>10</sub>, and filterable PM<sub>2.5</sub> were calculated as part of this project and are restricted to 0.069 lb/MMBtu, 0.069 lb/MMBtu, and 0.059 lb/MMBtu, respectively, by Special Condition 1.

## Coal Combustion (EP01 & EP02)

The PM emission factor was obtained from stack testing conducted at the installation in September of 2006. Filterable  $PM_{10}$  and filterable  $PM_{2.5}$  emission factors were calculated using the PM emission factor and the particle size distribution for baghouse controlled spreader stoker boilers in AP-42 Table 1.1-9 (September 1998).

The emission factors for condensable particulates and VOC used in this analysis were obtained from the EPA document AP-42, *Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors*, Fifth Edition, Section 1.1 "Bituminous and Subbituminous Coal Combustion" (September 1998).

CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emission factors and global warming potentials were obtained from 40 CFR Part 98 (revision date December 11, 2014).

## Natural Gas Combustion (EP03)

The emission factors for PM, total PM<sub>10</sub>, total PM<sub>2.5</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, VOC, and individual HAPs used in this analysis were obtained from the EPA document AP-42, *Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors*, Fifth Edition, Section 1.4 "Natural Gas Combustion" (July 1998).

CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O emission factors and global warming potentials were obtained from 40 CFR Part 98 (revision date December 11, 2014).

#### Paved Haul Road (INS08)

Emissions from the 0.15 mile paved haul road were calculated using Equation 2 from the EPA document AP-42, *Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors*, Fifth Edition, Section 13.2.1 "Paved Haul Roads" (January 2011), a silt loading of 9.7 g/m<sup>2</sup>, a mean vehicle weight of 27.5 tons, and 105 days per year with at least 0.01" of precipitation.

## Truck Unloading and Storage Pile Loadout (INS09 and INS10)

Emissions were calculated using Equation 1 from the EPA document AP-42, *Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors*, Fifth Edition, Section 13.2.4 "Aggregate Handling and Storage Piles" (November 2006), a mean wind speed of 9.2 mph, and a material moisture content of 4.8%. The actual moisture content of the biomass is much higher; however, 4.8% is the maximum moisture content for which an A rated emission rate can be obtained.

Wind erosion of the biomass storage pile does result in particulate emissions; however, as the size of the existing biomass storage pile will not be changing, there is expected to be no increase in emissions due to wind erosion compared to the baseline period.

Potential emissions of the application represent the potential of the project at the maximum annual usage rates in Special Conditions 6.A, 6.B, and 6.D. The following tables provide an emissions summary for this project.

**Project Emissions Summary (tons per year)** 

Pollutant	Regulatory De Minimis Levels	Existing Potential Emissions	Existing Actual Emissions (2014 EIQ)	Project NEI	New Installation PTE
PM	25.0	Major	N/A	24.37	N/D
Total PM <sub>10</sub>	15.0	Major	16.81	5.73	N/D
Total PM <sub>2.5</sub>	10.0	Major	15.78	9.72	N/D
SO <sub>x</sub>	40.0	Major	1,046.22	(1,038.95)	N/D
NO <sub>x</sub>	40.0	Major	163.84	(33.21)	Major
VOC	40.0	N/D	1.51	(4.41)	N/D
CO	100.0	Major	93.31	132.88	Major
CO <sub>2</sub> e	100,000	Major	N/A	14,757.75	Major
HAPs	25.0	Major	20.39	N/A	10.90

N/A = Not applicable; N/D = Not Determined

**Individual HAP Emissions Summary (tons per year)** 

Pollutant	CAS No.	SMAL	Existing Actual Emissions (2014 EIQ)	Project PTE	New Installation PTE
Benzene	71-43-2	2	0.15	2.78	2.80
Hexane	110-54-3	10	N/D	1.88	1.88
Formaldehyde	50-00-0	2	0.23	1.16	1.75
Methanol	67-56-1	10	N/D	0.73	0.73
Chlorine	7782-50-5	0.1	0.03	0.67	0.67
Hydrogen Chloride	7647-01-0	10	17.06	0.57	0.57
Styrene	100-42-5	1	0.07	0.54	0.54
Dichloromethane	75-09-2	10	0.01	0.46	0.46
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0	9	0.03	0.19	0.23
Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	1	N/D	0.11	0.11
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	1	N/D	0.10	0.10
Naphthalene	91-20-3	10	0.003	0.08	0.14
Acrolein	107-02-8	0.04	0.14	0.07	0.07
Xylene	1330-20-7	10	0.001	0.06	0.11
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	10	0.001	0.05	0.05
Propionaldehyde	123-38-6	5	0.002	0.05	0.05

1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1	10	N/D	0.05	0.05
Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	10	N/D	0.04	0.04
Chloramben	133-90-4	1	N/D	0.03	0.03
Methyl Chloride	74-87-3	10	N/D	0.03	0.03
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	10	0.001	0.03	0.03
Chloroform	67-66-3	0.9	0.001	0.03	0.03
Dibutyl Phthalate	84-74-2	10	N/D	0.03	0.03
1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	1	0.001	0.03	0.03
1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	0.8	0.001	0.02	0.02
Toluene	108-88-3	10	0.03	0.02	0.14
Polycyclic Organic N	<b>Matter</b>	0.01	N/D	0.02	0.03
Phosphorus	7723-14-0	0.1	0.001	0.02	0.02
Cumene	98-82-8	10	N/D	0.02	0.02
Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	10	0.001	0.01	0.01
Manganese Compounds	20-12-2	0.8	0.06	0.01	0.01
Bromomethane	74-83-9	10	0.001	0.01	0.01
Phenol	108-95-2	0.1	0.002	0.01	0.01

N/A = Not applicable; N/D = Not Determined

#### PERMIT RULE APPLICABILITY

This review was conducted in accordance with Section (8) of Missouri State Rule 10 CSR 10-6.060 *Construction Permits Required*. This project is a major modification for CO at an existing major stationary source. Special Condition 1 ensures that the modifications do not result in a significant net emissions increase of PM, total  $PM_{2.5}$ .

## APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS

Columbia Municipal Power Plant shall comply with the following applicable requirements. The Missouri Air Conservation Laws and Regulations should be consulted for specific recordkeeping, monitoring, and reporting requirements. Compliance with these emission standards, based on information submitted in the application, has been verified at the time this application was approved. For a complete list of applicable requirements for your installation, please consult your operating permit.

#### GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- 10 CSR 10-6.065 Operating Permits
- 10 CSR 10-6.110 Submission of Emission Data, Emission Fees and Process Information
- 10 CSR 10-6.165 Restriction of Emission of Odors
- 10 CSR 10-6.170 Restriction of Particulate Matter to the Ambient Air Beyond the Premises of Origin

- 10 CSR 10-6.220 Restriction of Emission of Visible Air Contaminants
  - As these modifications are less than 50% of the cost of new boilers, the boilers meet the definition of existing at 10 CSR 10-6.020(2)(E)44.B and are subject to a standard of 20% opacity with an exception of up to 60% opacity for a period of time not aggregating more than six minutes in any 60 minutes.

## SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS

- 10 CSR 10-6.075 Maximum Achievable Control Technology Regulations
  - 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart JJJJJ National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers Area Sources is applicable to Boilers 6 and 7. Boiler 6 is required to comply with the requirements for limited-use boilers while Boiler 7 is required to comply with the requirements for biomass boilers. Boiler 8 is not subject to this regulation as gas-fired boilers are exempt per §63.11195(e).
- 10 CSR 10-6.260 Restriction of Emission of Sulfur Compounds is applicable and limits SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from Boilers 6 and 7 to 8 lb/MMBtu. AP-42 Table 1.6-2 provides an SO<sub>2</sub> emission factor of 0.025 lb/MMBtu for Boilers 6 and 7 indicating compliance. Boiler 8 is exempt from this regulation per 10 CSR 10-6.220(1)(A)2 as it exclusively combusts pipeline grade natural gas.
- 10 CSR 10-6.270 Acid Rain Source Permits Required
- 10 CSR 10-6.405 Restriction of Particulate Matter Emissions From Fuel Burning Equipment Used for Indirect Heating is applicable to Boilers 6, 7, and 8.
  - The uncontrolled PM PTE of Boiler 6 is 17.36 tons based on an uncontrolled PM emission factor of 0.56 lb/MMBtu in AP-42 Table 1.6-1 and the annual heat input restriction in Special Condition 6.A; therefore, 40 CFR Part 64 Compliance Assurance Monitoring is not applicable to Boiler 6. The controlled PM emission rate is limited to 0.069 lb/MMBtu by Special Condition 1.A which demonstrates compliance.
  - The uncontrolled PM PTE of Boiler 7 is 454.99 tons based on an uncontrolled PM emission factor of 0.56 lb/MMBtu in AP-42 Table 1.6-1 and the annual heat input restriction in Special Condition 6.C; therefore, 40 CFR Part 64 Compliance Assurance Monitoring is applicable to Boiler 7. The permittee shall revise their Part 70 operating permit application 2015-06-041 within one year of completion of the modifications to Boiler 7. The revisions shall include a CAM plan for Boiler 7.
  - Boiler 8 combusts natural gas and is deemed to be in compliance with this regulation per 10 CSR 10-6.405(1)(C).

## BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS

## Applicability and Scope

Columbia Municipal Power Plant is an existing major stationary source with existing potential emissions of PM, total PM<sub>10</sub>, total PM<sub>2.5</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>e, and CO in excess of the major source threshold for named sources of 100 tons per year.

The net emissions increase analysis for this project indicates that the project does not result in a significant net emissions increase of PM, total  $PM_{10}$ , total  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $SO_x$ ,  $NO_x$ , or  $CO_2e$ ; therefore, BACT requirements apply only to CO. The only CO emission sources associated with this project are Boilers 6, 7, and 8.

A BACT analysis is done on a case by case basis and is performed in general by using a "top-down" method. The following steps detail the top-down approach:

- 1. Identify all potential control technologies must be a comprehensive list, it may include technology employed outside the United States and must include the Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER) determinations.
- 2. Eliminate technically infeasible options must be well documented and must preclude the successful use of the control option.
- 3. Rank remaining control technologies based on control effectiveness, expected emission rate, expected emission reduction, energy impacts, environmental impacts, and economic impacts.
- 4. Evaluate the most effective controls based on a case-by-case consideration of energy, environmental, and economic impacts.
- 5. Select BACT.

#### CO BACT for spreader stoker Boilers 6 and 7

CO is emitted from woody biomass-fired boilers as a result of the incomplete combustion of fuel. This incomplete combustion results in a loss of boiler efficiency. Therefore, it is desirable to minimize CO emissions as much as possible in order to increase boiler efficiency and reduce fuel use. Boiler CO emission control requires reduction of the CO formation during fuel combustion or reduction of the CO in the boiler exhaust after formation (i.e., post-combustion control).

The following control technologies were identified:

- Good Combustion Practices such as:
  - Boiler tuning
  - Combustion Optimization
  - Operation procedures including during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction
  - Instrumentation and controls
  - Reduce air leakages
  - Reduce slagging and fouling of heat transfer surfaces
  - Preventative maintenance
- Oxidation Catalyst
- Thermal Oxidation

## **Good Combustion Practices**

CO is emitted from the boiler as a result of incomplete combustion of fuel and loss of boiler efficiency. The most direct approach for reducing CO emissions is to maximize combustion efficiency through good combustion practices while at the same time minimizing  $NO_x$  formation. In general, a balance must be struck between CO and  $NO_x$  emissions as  $NO_x$  and CO emissions resulting from combustion are inversely related. This involves proper staging of the combustion process by monitoring and controlling the operating parameters of the boilers to ensure continual operation as close to optimum (i.e., minimum emission) conditions as possible. As part of this project, Columbia Municipal Power Plant will be installing over-fire air on Boilers 6 and 7. The addition of over-fire air is a method of combustion staging in the furnace in which a portion of the combustion air is redirected from the lower fuel rich area to a location higher in the furnace. Over-fire air also limits the amount of oxygen available during the phase of combustion when  $NO_x$  is formed.

## Oxidation Catalyst

Catalytic oxidation is an available post-combustion CO control technology. A CO oxidation catalyst system works to reduce CO emissions by routing the boiler exhaust gases through a reactor containing catalyst material. The catalytic material typically used is a precious metal such as platinum or palladium. The catalyst oxidizes CO to CO<sub>2</sub>. The catalyst also oxidizes other gases in the boiler exhaust passing through the reactor such as VOC and SO<sub>2</sub>. The precious metal catalyst is prone to plugging in high particulate environments. The exhaust gas temperature must be greater than 500°F to 600°F for this CO catalytic reaction to take place with acceptable effectiveness. Placement of the oxidation catalyst after the fabric filter would require reheating of the exhaust gas, increasing emissions from the combustion of additional fuel. The oxidation catalyst is also damaged by acid gases: therefore, a dry sorbent injection system would need to be installed prior to the oxidation catalyst. In order for oxidation catalyst to be technically feasible on Boilers 6 and 7 a complete redesign of the existing boiler exhaust ducting, modification of the existing fabric filters, reheating of the exhaust gas, and installation of a dry sorbent injection system prior to the catalyst to control acid gases would be required.

The increase in emissions associated with a new exhaust gas heater; the cost impacts of a new exhaust gas heater, dry sorbent injection system, and duct modifications<sup>1</sup>; and the energy impacts of a new exhaust gas heater render this control technology inappropriate for application on Boilers 6 and 7.

#### Thermal Oxidation

Thermal oxidation also oxidizes CO to CO<sub>2</sub>, but without the use of a catalyst. Temperatures in excess of 1,500°F are required. Thermal oxidation would require

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A cost analysis is available in the application.

heating of the exhaust gas to, increasing emissions from the combustion of additional fuel.

Thermal oxidation would result in greater emissions, cost, and energy increases than catalytic oxidation; therefore, this control technology is considered inappropriate for application on Boilers 6 and 7.

Good combustion practices including the installation of over-fire air have been selected as BACT for Boilers 6 and 7. This determination is consistent with the most recent spreader stoker boiler conversions in the RBLC for Virginia Electric and Power Company's Altavista, Hopewell, and Southampton Power Stations<sup>2</sup>. The BACT limit established for Virginia Electric and Power Company's Altavista, Hopewell, and Southamption Power Stations and proposed by the applicant is 0.30 lb/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average. Based on information obtained by an information request to Virginia's Department of Environmental Quality, Virginia Electric and Power Company's boilers are meeting their BACT limits with a comfortable margin of compliance:

- CO CEMS data obtained for the Hopewell Power Station's Unit 1 indicates 30day rolling average CO emission rates ranging from 0.14 lb/MMBtu – 0.23 lb/MMBtu.
- CO CEMS data obtained for the Hopewell Power Station's Unit 2 indicates 30day rolling average CO emission rates ranging from 0.14 lb/MMBtu – 0.25 lb/MMBtu.
- CO CEMS data obtained for the Southampton Power Station's Unit 1 indicates 30-day rolling average CO emission rates ranging from 0.17 lb/MMBtu – 0.27 lb/MMBtu.
- CO CEMS data obtained for the Southampton Power Station's Unit 2 indicates 30-day rolling average CO emission rates ranging from 0.18 lb/MMBtu – 0.27 lb/MMBtu.

Based on the information provided by Virginia's Department of Environmental Quality for the Hopewell and Southampton Power Stations, the Air Pollution Control Program believes that 0.27 lb/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average is BACT for Boilers 6 and 7.

## CO BACT for natural gas Boiler 8

CO is emitted from natural gas boilers as a result of the incomplete combustion of fuel. This incomplete combustion results in a loss of boiler efficiency. Therefore, it is desirable to minimize CO emissions as much as possible in order to increase boiler efficiency and reduce fuel use. Boiler CO emission control requires reduction of the CO formed by fuel combustion or reduction of the CO in the boiler exhaust (i.e., postcombustion control).

The following control technologies were identified:

- Good Combustion Practices such as:
  - Boiler tuning
  - Combustion Optimization

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> RBLC IDs VA-0316, VA-0317, and V-0318.

- Operation procedures including during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction
- Instrumentation and controls
- o Reduce air leakages
- Reduce slagging and fouling of heat transfer surfaces
- Preventative maintenance
- Oxidation Catalyst
- Thermal Oxidation

#### **Good Combustion Practices**

CO is emitted from the boiler as a result of incomplete combustion of fuel and loss of boiler efficiency. The most direct approach for reducing CO emissions is to maximize combustion efficiency through good combustion practices while at the same time minimizing  $NO_x$  formation. In general, a balance must be struck between CO and  $NO_x$  emissions as  $NO_x$  and CO emissions resulting from combustion are inversely related. This involves proper staging of the combustion process by monitoring and controlling the operating parameters of the boilers to ensure continual operation as close to optimum (i.e., minimum emission) conditions as possible.

## Oxidation Catalyst

Catalytic oxidation is an available post-combustion CO control technology. A CO oxidation catalyst system works to reduce CO emissions by routing the boiler exhaust gases through a reactor containing catalyst material. The catalytic material typically used is a precious metal such as platinum or palladium. The catalyst oxidizes CO to  $CO_2$ . The catalyst also oxidizes other gases in the boiler exhaust passing through the reactor such as VOC and  $SO_2$ . The exhaust gas temperature must be greater than  $500^{\circ}F$  to  $600^{\circ}F$  for this CO catalytic reaction to take place with acceptable effectiveness.

Based on a site inspection of Boiler 8, it is not viable to install the ductwork that would be required to install the CO catalyst downstream of the economizer; therefore, in order to achieve the temperature necessary for CO emissions removal across the catalyst, the gas leaving the existing ID fan will need to be reheated. This arrangement is typically called a tail-end installation. Supplemental heating would be provided by a natural gas duct burner. A new gas-to-gas heat exchanger would be installed to recapture the supplemental heat to the extent practical. A new ID fan would be required to overcome the additional system draft resistance associated with the new equipment.

The increase in emissions associated with a new natural gas duct burner, the cost impacts of a new natural gas duct burner and duct modifications<sup>3</sup>, and the energy impacts of a new natural gas duct burner render this control technology inappropriate for application on Boiler 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A cost analysis is available in the application.

#### Thermal Oxidation

Thermal oxidation also oxidizes CO to CO<sub>2</sub>, but without the use of a catalyst. Temperatures in excess of 1,500°F are required.

Thermal oxidation would result in greater emissions, cost, and energy increases than catalytic oxidation; therefore, this control technology is considered inappropriate for application on Boiler 8.

Good combustion practices have been selected as BACT for Boiler 8. This determination is consistent with recent determinations in the RBLC for the modification of an existing natural gas-fired boiler. The BACT limit proposed by the applicant is 0.08 lb/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average. As this is an existing boiler, the boiler is not expected to achieve the same level of boiler efficiency (complete combustion) as a newer boiler. The low NO<sub>x</sub> burners, while lowering NO<sub>x</sub> emissions can increase CO emissions given the inverse relationship between CO emissions and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from combustion. Given the age of the boiler and the inverse relationship between CO and NO<sub>x</sub>, the Air Pollution Control Program agrees that the proposed limit is BACT.

#### AMBIENT AIR QUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS

Ambient air quality modeling was performed to determine the ambient impact of CO. Acrolein, Benzene, Chlorine, Dioxins/Furans, and Polycyclic Organic Matter (POM). Modeling was performed for three different load scenarios (100% load, 75% load, and 50% load) and four different operating scenarios (rural with and without downwash and urban with and without downwash) using AERSCREEN. The highest modeled impacts are provided in the following table:

Pollutant	Load	Operating Scenario	Modeled Impact (μg/m³)	SIL/RAL (µg/m³)	Time Period									
СО	50%	Urban-Downwash	1,127.25	2,000	1-hour									
CO	100%	Ulball-Dowllwasii	393.03	500	8-hour									
Acrolein	100%	Urban-Downwash	0.02	6.9	24-hour									
Acrolein	Acrolein 100%	Ulbaii-Dowiiwasii	0.00334	0.02	Annual									
Benzene	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	100%	1000/	1000/	1000/	Urban-Downwash	0.556	1.0	24-hour
Denzene	100%	Ulbaii-Dowiiwasii	0.0902	1.2	Annual									
Chlorine	All <sup>4</sup>	Urban⁵	0.124	3.95	24-hour									
Cilionine		Orban	0.0206	3.95	Annual									
Dioxins/Furans	All <sup>4</sup>	Urban⁵	0.0000435	0.3	Annual									
POM	50%	Urban-Downwash	0.00481	0.16	24-hour									
POM 5	50%	UIDAII-DOWIIWASII	0.000802	0.16	Annual									

The results indicate that the modeled impacts of each pollutant are below its respective SIL/RAL; therefore, no further requirements are deemed necessary.

<sup>5</sup> The use of building downwash did not impact overall emissions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Differences in concentrations between operating loads were minimal.

For a more detailed discussion of the modeling results, please refer to the modeling memorandum titled, "AAQIA for the Columbia Municipal Power Plant – PSD Modeling – CO and HAPs" (May 2015) and "AAQIA for the Columbia Municipal Power Plant – PSD Modeling – CO Limit – Revision 1" (August 2015).

#### STAFF RECOMMENDATION

On the basis of this review conducted in accordance with Section (8) of Missouri State Rule 10 CSR 10-6.060 *Construction Permits Required*, I recommend this permit be granted with special conditions.

Alexandration		
Alana L. Hess	Date	
Environmental Engineer		

#### PERMIT DOCUMENTS

The following documents are incorporated by reference into this permit:

- The Application for Authority to Construct form, March 6, 2015, received March 18, 2015, revised April 1, 2015, April 6, 2015, April 14, 2015, May 18, 2015, June 18, 2015, and July 13, 2015, designating Columbia Municipal Power Plant as the owner and operator of the installation.
- Ambient Air Quality Analysis for the Columbia Municipal Power Plant PSD Modeling CO and HAPs (May 2015)

## **APPENDIX A**

## **Abbreviations and Acronyms**

%percent	m/s meters per second
°Fdegrees Fahrenheit	Mgal 1,000 gallons
acfmactual cubic feet per minute	MW megawatt
BACTBest Available Control Technology	MHDR maximum hourly design rate
BMPsBest Management Practices	MMBtu Million British thermal units
BtuBritish thermal unit	MMCF million cubic feet
CAMCompliance Assurance Monitoring	MSDS Material Safety Data Sheet
CASChemical Abstracts Service	NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality
CEMSContinuous Emission Monitor	Standards
System	NESHAPs National Emissions Standards for
CFRCode of Federal Regulations	Hazardous Air Pollutants
COcarbon monoxide	NO <sub>x</sub> nitrogen oxides
CO₂carbon dioxide	NSPS New Source Performance Standards
CO₂ecarbon dioxide equivalent	NSR New Source Review
COMSContinuous Opacity Monitoring	PM particulate matter
System	PM <sub>2.5</sub> particulate matter less than 2.5
CSRCode of State Regulations	microns in aerodynamic diameter <b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub> particulate matter less than 10
dscfdry standard cubic feet	microns in aerodynamic diameter
EIQEmission Inventory Questionnaire	ppm parts per million
EPEmission Point	PSD Prevention of Significant
<b>EPA</b> Environmental Protection Agency	Deterioration
EUEmission Unit	PTE potential to emit
fpsfeet per second	RACT Reasonable Available Control
ftfeet	Technology
GACTGenerally Available Control Technology	RAL Risk Assessment Level
GHGGreenhouse Gas	SCC Source Classification Code
gpmgallons per minute	scfm standard cubic feet per minute
grgrains	SDS Safety Data Sheet
<b>GWP</b> Global Warming Potential	SIC Standard Industrial Classification
HAPHazardous Air Pollutant	SIP State Implementation Plan
hrhour	SMAL Screening Model Action Levels
hphorsepower	SO <sub>x</sub> sulfur oxides
lbpound	SO <sub>2</sub> sulfur dioxide
lbs/hrpounds per hour	tph tons per hour
MACTMaximum Achievable Control	tpy tons per year
Technology	VMT vehicle miles traveled
μg/m³micrograms per cubic meter	VOC Volatile Organic Compound